Introduction

In Egypt, camels are considered the most important animal for Bedouins in arid and semi-arid zones as the main source of animal food, primarily camel milk. Camels produce about 2.9 million tons of milk per year. The popularity of camels’ milk dairy products has spread now not only to North Africa, the Arabian Gulf area but also to the European markets (FAO, 2017). Camel milk is endowed with unique nutritional and therapeutic properties. Its proteins represent a bioactive compound that promotes consumer health by acting as hypoglycemic, hypo-allergic, immune stimulants and anti-carcinogenic (Mudgil et al., 2018). Furthermore, camels’ milk contains high protective whey proteins, a higher content of anti-microbial factors (such as lysozyme, immunoglobulin, and lactoferrin). The longer shelf life of camel milk is referred to its dynamic antimicrobial system and low molecular antibodies (Hailu et al., 2016 and Al-Shamsi et al., 2018).

Despite the uniqueness nutritional and health benefits of camels’ milk, it characterized with long coagulation time, a watery consistency fragile and weak curd structure by a lactic acid fermentation process. (Desouky, 2017). The poor ability of camel milk to yield desirable and acceptable fermented dairy products is mainly due to its antimicrobial agents that interfere with the starter action. Other causes could due to the small size of fat globule and its protein nature which shows low content of K-casein with a high content of the large size casein micelles (El Hatmi et al., 2014 and Kamal et al., 2017).

In Egypt “Rayeb” is a traditional fermented dairy product that has been recognized and greatly valued by consumers for centuries. It is produced by spontaneous fermentation of milk (Abou-
During the manufacture of fermented milk products, a necessary step is the initiation of gel configuration, that is, deterioration of the colloidal organization of casein micelles by acidification through lactic acid bacteria. Once destabilized, the casein micelles start collective and lastly form a three-dimensional arrangement entrapping the serum phase (Lee and Lucey, 2010). One of the major aims of fermented milk producers is to prepare a formula with the greatest protein, adequate dry matter, and maximum stability. Dairy proteins could offer suitable functional and technological properties (bland flavor, improve suitable and acceptable properties) in dairy processing. Nowadays, dairy powders, milk protein concentrate could be integrated into the milk bases to improve the texture properties. (Desouky et al., 2019).

The configuration of the gel produced from fermented camels’ milk is very poor and fragile. Recently, fermented camels milk is manufacturing using different techniques to provide the product with desirable and acceptable properties. Furthermore, the texture and the ability to retain water of fermented products is a significant quality attribute, affects its acceptability. Various attempts were conducted to resolve this problem by using skimmed milk powder (Salih and Hamid, 2013), enzymes (Hashim et al., 2009), stabilizers (Al-Zoreky and Al-Otaibi, 2015), hydrocolloids (Desouky, 2017) and cereals (Desouky and Awad, 2019). Yet, camels’ milk rennet curd not used before in fermented milk products as “Rayeb”. Taking all the above mentioned in concern; this study aimed to manifest the effect of adding different ratios of camels’ sweet curd (CSC) as a technological step for texture improvement or modification of camels’ milk Rayeb. The physicochemical properties and the microstructural examination of fresh samples were assayed while the sensory quality attributes were evaluated during the storage period.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Raw camels’ milk was collected from camels’ herd belongs to Desert Research Center at North Western Coastal area, Matrouh Governorate, Egypt. Milk was immediately maintained and stored under refrigerated conditions until used. Bulk camels’ milk samples contained 12.55 ±0.62% total solids, 3.83 ±0.29% fat, 3.56 ±0.18% total protein, 4.32 ±0.12% carbohydrates (by the difference), 0.84±0.08 % ash and pH value of 6.6 ±0.24.

Camel specific liquid Chymosine (CHYMAX M™, 1000 IMCU mL⁻¹) and commercial freeze-dried DVS mixed-bacterial-starters namely : Yo-Fast1 (containing of Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus as Rayeb starter was purchased from Chr. Hansen Laboratory (Copenhagen, Denmark).

Freeze-dried bacterial starters were activated separately in autoclaved (121°C/10 min) buffaloes’ skim milk (0.1% fat and 9.5% SNF) using a 0.02% (w/v) inoculums. The cultures were incubated at 42°C until curdling. Culture was activated 24 hr before use.

Preparing of camels’ sweet curd (CSC)

Camels’ sweet curd was made by the traditional method as described by Abou-Donia (1986) with some modifications. Milk (10 kg) was pasteurized at 63°C / 30 min then cooled to 40°C. Appropriate amount of rennet (3g/ 100 kg milk) was added, stirred well, and milk samples were held until coagulated (~2.5-4 hr). The curd was scooped into small perforated cheese moulds at room temperature for 24 hr. and left to drain whey. The curd contained 26.56 ±0.24 % total solids, 9.27 ±0.11 % fat, 12.28 ±0.15 % total protein, 3.20 ±0.10 % carbohydrates (by the difference), 1.81±0.07 % ash and had pH value of 6.55 ±0.10.

Manufacture of camels’ milk Rayeb

Camels’ milk was homogenized at 55-60°C for 2 min using a high-speed mixer (30000 rpm/min 1X 520, UAC 30-R, Chicago II 6064), heated in a water bath at 85°C for 30 min, and divided into six portions. The first portion was considered as a control and 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 (g/100g) of CSC were added separately to the other five portions of milk and mixed well, then cooled to 42°C, inoculation with 3 % (v/v) of Yo-Fast1 activated culture (10⁸ - 10⁹ cfu/ml), then incubated to ~ 4 h. After that immediately cooled at 6±0.5°C for 6 hr, all portions were manually blended and dispensed into 150 ml plastic bottles, covered and stored at 6±0.5°C for 15 days. Fresh Rayeb samples from different treatments were subjected to physicochemical properties, dynamic viscosity, pH values and organoleptic properties throughout storage period (zero, 3, 6, 9, 12 and 15 days at 6±0.5°C.)

Chemical and physicochemical determinations

The total solids, fat, total nitrogen and ash contents; as well as pH values using digital pH meter (Inolad model 720, Germany) were determined in fresh camels’ milk and Rayeb samples according to AOAC (2012). Total carbohydrates were calculated by the difference. Syneresis was measured as described by Farouq and Haque (1992) as the amount of spontaneous whey (ml /100g) drained off after 2 hr at 7°C.
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Apparent viscosity of Rayeb samples was also measured at room temperature using a Brookfield digital viscometer (Middleboro, MA 02346, USA) using the RV spindle (No. 4) and a rotation of 100 rpm. The sample was subjected to shear rates ranging from 3-100 SG4 for an upward curve. Viscosity measurements were expressed as centipoise (cP.s) as described by Djurdjevic et al. (2001).

Microstructure examination
The microstructure assaying of fresh camels’ Rayeb treatments were achieved using transmission electron microscopy following the method of Garcia-Risco et al. (2000). Samples were viewed by SEM (JXA-840A Electron Probe Microanalyzer-JEOL, Japan) after dehydration by Critical Point Dried instrument and coating with gold using S150A Sputter Coater-Edwards, England.

Sensory evaluation
Sensory evaluation was carried out according to the sheet described by Clark et al. (2009) for flavour (1-10 points), body and texture (1-5 points) and appearance & color (1-5 points), performed by 15 staff members of the Animal Production Division, Desert Research Center, Cairo, Egypt. All samples were evaluated when fresh and throughout storage for 15 days at 6±0.5°C.

Statistical analyses
All experiments and analysis were done in triplicate. Statistical analyses of data were carried out using the General Linear Models procedure of the SPSS, 16.0 Syntax Reference Guide (SPSS, 2007). The results were expressed as least squares means with standard errors of the mean. Statistically different groups were determined by the LSD (p ≤ 0.05).

Results and Discussion
Chemical composition and physicochemical properties of camels’ Rayeb milk samples fortified with different ratios of camel sweet curd (CSC)
The chemical composition of Rayeb samples were significantly (p ≤ 0.05) influenced by the addition of CSC. The total solids, protein, fat and ash contents were high in the treatments fortified with CSC being the highest and lowest values in T5 and T1, in the same order. It could be due to the chemical composition of the sweet curd and its concentrated content of protein, fat and total solids. The high total solids in treatments enriched with CSC led to low pH values compared with control. However, the values of pH confirmed these results (Table 1). The obtained data were confirmed also by Estevez et al. (2010). Furthermore, the addition of CSC significantly (P≤0.05) improved the acidity production by the starter culture used (Tamime and Robinson, 2007). Meanwhile, the control treatment was characterized by the lowest chemical composition values expect the pH. It could be due to the complex matrix which formed between casein and whey protein during heat treatment, which influences hydration of casein molecular and whey separation resulted in soft and watery curd or low total solid content (Al Shamsi et al., 2018).

TABLE 1. Chemical composition and pH values (Mean ± Standard deviation) of fresh camels’ Rayeb milk samples fortified with different ratios of camel sweet curd (CSC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>T5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total solids %</td>
<td>13.33f</td>
<td>14.53e</td>
<td>15.63d</td>
<td>16.74c</td>
<td>17.69b</td>
<td>18.61a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat %</td>
<td>3.97d</td>
<td>4.28cd</td>
<td>4.69bc</td>
<td>5.08b</td>
<td>5.41ab</td>
<td>5.76a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein %</td>
<td>3.79e</td>
<td>4.43d</td>
<td>5.03c</td>
<td>5.63bc</td>
<td>6.06ab</td>
<td>6.55a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbohydrate</td>
<td>4.67e</td>
<td>4.88b</td>
<td>4.92bc</td>
<td>4.99ab</td>
<td>5.14a</td>
<td>5.19a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash %</td>
<td>0.90b</td>
<td>0.94b</td>
<td>0.99b</td>
<td>1.04a</td>
<td>1.08b</td>
<td>1.11a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH value</td>
<td>5.36a</td>
<td>5.34a</td>
<td>5.32d</td>
<td>5.30e</td>
<td>5.27b</td>
<td>5.24b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Control: Camels Rayeb milk made without camel sweet curd (CSC).
T1: Control + 5 % CSC.
T2: Control + 10 % CSC.
T3: Control + 15 % CSC.
T4: Control + 20 % CSC.
T5: Control + 25 % CSC.

**calculated by the difference.
Small letters (a, b, c,…) means with the different small superscript letters within the same column are significantly (P≤0.05) different between camels’ rayeb milk fortified with different ratios of camel milk sweet curd (CSC)
The pH values and the whey off (ml/100g) of camels’ Rayeb samples were significantly (p ≤ 0.05) affected by both of the CSC fortification levels and storage period as shown in Table 2. There was a slight and gradual decrease (p≤0.05) in the pH values of all fortified Rayeb treatments as compared with the control being the lowest values at T5 treatments either when fresh (5.24) or at the end of storage (5.01). This could be attributed to the high activity of starter culture thus lowering the pH (Tamime and Robinson, 2007). Consequently, the high pH values of the control may be due to the presence of denatured whey proteins on the surface of casein micelles, being accountable for increasing the pH values (Lucey et al., 1998). As shown in Table 2, in the early days of cooled storage; the pH decreasing was more rapidly in contrast with last days of the storage period, which could be associated with higher activity of free microorganisms due to rich medium at first days of storage as compared with the ending storage period. Furthermore, as CPC is considered a protein enriched fortification material for the bacterial starter culture, induced the pH reduction in treated samples as compared with the control. (Hai-Yan et al., 2016 and Delikanli and Ozcan, 2016). Also, the low pH values of the fortified CSC compared to the control treatment may be due to an increase of total solid content, being responsible for decreasing the pH values (Abd-El-Salam and El-Shibiny, 2015). Moreover, significant (p≤0.05) and a gradual reduction in pH values were detected in all treatments with expanding the storage period, due to the limited growth and acidity of different bacterial starter cultures used.

In addition, the whey separation was decreased (p ≤ 0.05) in all treated treatments and the control as storage time progressed till the 15th day being the lowest values with T5 (25 % CSC) treatment till the end of storage. It is possibly due to the whey separation in acid milk curd and the rearrangements of particles building up the casein network.

### TABLE 2. Changes in pH values and spontaneous whey separation (ml/100g) of camels’ Rayeb milk samples fortified with different ratios of camel sweet curd (CSC) during storage period (6±0.5 C/15 days).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage period (days)</th>
<th>Treatments *</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>T5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>pH values</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.36aA</td>
<td>5.34aA</td>
<td>5.32bA</td>
<td>5.30bA</td>
<td>5.27cA</td>
<td>5.24cA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.32aAB</td>
<td>5.29aAB</td>
<td>5.27bAB</td>
<td>5.25cAB</td>
<td>5.22dAB</td>
<td>5.18dAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.28bB</td>
<td>5.25bBC</td>
<td>5.23cBC</td>
<td>5.21dBC</td>
<td>5.17eBC</td>
<td>5.12fBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.24cC</td>
<td>5.21cCD</td>
<td>5.19dCD</td>
<td>5.17eCD</td>
<td>5.12fCD</td>
<td>5.07gCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.20dC</td>
<td>5.17eD</td>
<td>5.15fD</td>
<td>5.13gD</td>
<td>5.08hD</td>
<td>5.03iD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.17eC</td>
<td>5.14fD</td>
<td>5.12gD</td>
<td>5.10hE</td>
<td>5.06iD</td>
<td>5.01jD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>whey separation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.27aA</td>
<td>5.09bA</td>
<td>4.89cA</td>
<td>4.73dA</td>
<td>4.49eA</td>
<td>4.22fA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.81ab</td>
<td>4.62bB</td>
<td>4.37cB</td>
<td>4.19dB</td>
<td>3.98eB</td>
<td>3.74fB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.48cC</td>
<td>4.32dC</td>
<td>4.12eC</td>
<td>3.95fC</td>
<td>3.75gB</td>
<td>3.55hC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.39cC</td>
<td>4.23dC</td>
<td>4.08eCD</td>
<td>3.83fCD</td>
<td>3.64gBC</td>
<td>3.44hCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.28dD</td>
<td>4.08eDE</td>
<td>3.92fDE</td>
<td>3.72gDE</td>
<td>3.55hCD</td>
<td>3.35iCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.14eD</td>
<td>4.06fDE</td>
<td>3.83gDE</td>
<td>3.64hDE</td>
<td>3.42iDE</td>
<td>3.21jE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See footnote Table1

Small letters (a, b, c, …) means with the different small superscript letters within the same column are significantly (P≤0.05) different between camels’ rayeb milk fortified with different ratios of camel milk sweet curd (CSC). Capital letters (A, B, C…) means with the different capital superscript letters within the same raw indicate significant (P≤0.05) differences between storage period (days).
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Also, Herrero and Requena (2006) reported that the increase in viscosity attributed to changes in protein conformations and the changes in pH are responsible to decrease the whey off. Meanwhile, in the control treatment, the complex is formed among casein and whey protein during heat treatment, which influences the whey separation. Also, it could be attributed to the differences in the developed acidity leading to whey separation (lowest water holding) and weak gel formation.

Changes in titratable acidity of camels’ Rayeb milk samples fortified with different ratios of camel sweet curd (CSC) during fermentation

The effect of supplementing camels’ milk with different levels (ranged from 5 to 25 % of camel sweet curd (CSC) on the fermentation time and the titratable acidity (lactic acid/100 ml) is illustrated in Fig. 1. The results show that the fermentation time for all treatments was influenced by the CsC added. Camels’ milk samples supplemented with CSC exhibited a much higher increase in the acidity as compared to un-supplemented samples during the time of fermentation. Furthermore, by elevating the level of CSC used, the fermentation time was reduced (300 min vs 240 min for control and T5 treatment, respectively). Moreover, the enrichment of camels’ milk with CSC might be promoting the starter culture activity due to the sufficient concentration of nutrients required for the starter culture and increased acid development which is led to decreased fermentation time. These results are inconsistent with the findings of Lee and Lucey (2010) who observed that supplementing fermented milk with milk proteins leads to an increase in the solid content, thereby creating an increase in starter cultures activity and increased acid development. Also, milk supplemented with CSC had a higher speed of acid production during fermentation and a higher decline in pH value (Table 2) than the control treatment. This result was observed with a high level of supplementation (T5). The rapid increase in the titratable acidity, as well as the decrease in the pH values in supplemented samples, could be related to all of CSC nutrients that may have a stimulating effect on the activity of lactic acid bacteria (Delikanli and Ozcan, 2016).

Apparent viscosity values of camels’ Rayeb milk samples fortified with different ratios of camel sweet curd (CSC)

Data in Figure 2 (A and B) for the apparent viscosity (cP.s) of camels’ milk Rayeb fortified with different ratios (ranged from 5 to 25%) of camel sweet curd (CSC) assisted significant (P ≤ 0.05) increases in the viscosity values with increasing the supplementation level of CSC and extending the storage period. All camels’ Rayeb samples kept the same shape of the flow curve during the storage period (results are not shown). Therefore, the changes in the viscosity values were taken at a fresh (A) and end (B) of storage to access the effect storage on the viscosity of the prepared product. The viscosity values noticeably decreased as the shear rate increased in all treatments until the end of the storage period. All treatments showed a pseudoplastic shear thinning behavior throughout the storage period and behaved as a shear-thinning non-Newtonian fluid. The same results confirmed by Jumah et al. (2001). Rapid reduction at lower shear rates, followed by the downy curve at higher shear rates, were observed in all treatments. It could be due to the deformation and reduction in aggregated particles (Lee and Choo, 2015). Also, the apparent viscosity values greatly influenced as the protein and TS ratios in the milk base increase (as presented in Table 1). Among different treatments, T4 (25% CSC) characterized with the maximum viscosity values through the investigated time of shearing and exhibited the highest increasing shifting of the flow curve, as compared with the other treatments (Fig. 2, A and B) either fresh or at the end of storage period. Contrary, the lowest apparent viscosity was recorded for the control which may be due to the weak curd formed and increased whey separation (Table 3). Water in milk curd is physically fascinated inside the gel matrix, a sense that the tendency for whey separation is principally linked to dynamics of the casein network rather than the mobility of the water molecules, also be credited to the alteration of the gel structure by addition CSC. Same results confirmed by Lee and Lucey (2010) After combining the results of transmission electron microscopy shown in Fig. 2, it could be concluded that the hydrophobic interactions may be responsible for increasing the viscosity by changing the conformation structure. The addition of 25% CSC increased the viscosity pronouncedly and extensively. Furthermore, the viscosity values of all treatments increased (p≤0.05) until the end of the storage period being the highest values with T4 treatments. It could be correlated to a well-built protein network and lowest whey separation. The same trend was founded in the stirred yoghurt by Desouky (2017) who reported that, the longer the storage time was, the higher the viscosity was created.
TABLE 3. Sensory evaluation scores of camels’ Rayeb milk samples fortified with different ratios of camel sweet curd (CSC) during storage period (6±0.5 °C/15 days).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organoleptic properties (points)</th>
<th>Storage period (days)</th>
<th>Treatments *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavour (1-20)</td>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>18.50^{A}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.50^{A}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18.00^{B}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.50^{C}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.00^{D}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.50^{E}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body &amp; Texture (1-10)</td>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>8.00^{IA}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.00^{A}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.50^{B}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.00^{C}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.80^{CD}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.50^{D}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See footnote Table 2: Control: Camels’ Rayeb milk made without camel sweet curd (CSC).

**Fig. 1.** Changes in titratable acidity (Mean ± standard deviation) of camels’ Rayeb milk samples fortified with different ratios of camel sweet curd (CSC) ranged from 5 to 25 % during fermentation.

T1: Control + 5 % CSC.
T2: Control + 10 % CSC.
T3: Control + 15 % CSC.
T4: Control + 20 % CSC.
T5: Control + 25 % CSC.
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Improving the Textural Properties of Camels’ Milk Rayeb

Microstructure of camels’ Rayeb milk samples fortified with different ratios of camel sweet curd (CSC)

Figure 3 shows the microstructures of fresh camels’ Rayeb treatments fortified with different ratios (ranged from 5 to 25%) of camel sweet curd (CSC) using transmission electron microscopy. The microstructures of different treatments were similar exhibiting casein micelle chains and clusters (P) separated by voids volumes (V). The sizes of casein clusters and protein aggregates were not the same between the different treatments. Rayeb prepared using different ratios of CSC showed homogenous and comparatively steady network structure with quite little and consistently distributed pores, with increasing the fortification level the prepared Rayeb showed the small number of large voids. While, the control treatment showed a more open, unfastened and fewer dense protein matrix and characterized by small casein micelles aggregates chains, no substantial huge ones were detected. Also, the voids represent areas, an excess which leads to an open or loose texture (Wang et al., 2012), leading to a weaker gel (Torres et al., 2018) and it is confirmed with the observed lower viscosity (as shown in Fig. 2) in the control. According to the previous study, hydrophobic associations play a role in molecular structuring (Eissa, 2013). Since the pH is decreased, the electrostatic repulsions among the casein molecules are lowered. Hydrophobic interactions will increase leading to the configuration of a three-dimensional protein net comprised of casein strands (Phadungath, 2005) as observed in the treated treatments casein micelles aggregates were linked continuously and strongly as the effect of the CSC. This may explain the increase in viscosity with increasing the concentration used. A compact network was observed at the higher concentrations (T3 and T4), the pore size was much smaller and showed comparatively steady network configuration with rather small and consistently dispersed pores and

Fig. 2. Viscosity of camels’ rayeb samples fortified with different ratios of camel sweet curd (CSC) when fresh (A) and after 15 (B) days of storage period at 6± 0.5°C, respectively. See footnote Fig. 1

Microstructure of camels’ Rayeb milk samples fortified with different ratios of camel sweet curd (CSC)

Figure 3 shows the microstructures of fresh camels’ Rayeb treatments fortified with different ratios (ranged from 5 to 25%) of camel sweet curd (CSC) using transmission electron microscopy. The microstructures of different treatments were similar exhibiting casein micelle chains and clusters (P) separated by voids volumes (V). The sizes of casein clusters and protein aggregates were not the same between the different treatments. Rayeb prepared using different ratios of CSC showed homogenous and comparatively steady network structure with quite little and consistently distributed pores, with increasing the fortification level the prepared Rayeb showed the small number of large voids. While, the control treatment showed a more open, unfastened and fewer dense protein matrix and characterized by small casein micelles aggregates chains, no substantial huge ones were detected. Also, the voids represent areas, an excess which leads to an open or loose texture (Wang et al., 2012), leading to a weaker gel (Torres et al., 2018) and it is confirmed with the observed lower viscosity (as shown in Fig. 2) in the control. According to the previous study, hydrophobic associations play a role in molecular structuring (Eissa, 2013). Since the pH is decreased, the electrostatic repulsions among the casein molecules are lowered. Hydrophobic interactions will increase leading to the configuration of a three-dimensional protein net comprised of casein strands (Phadungath, 2005) as observed in the treated treatments casein micelles aggregates were linked continuously and strongly as the effect of the CSC. This may explain the increase in viscosity with increasing the concentration used. A compact network was observed at the higher concentrations (T3 and T4), the pore size was much smaller and showed comparatively steady network configuration with rather small and consistently dispersed pores and
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Fig. 3. Scanning electron microscopy (x5000) images of fresh camels’ rayeb milk samples fortified with different ratios of camel sweet curd (CSC) (ranged from 5 to 25%).
See footnote Fig. 1.
showed a small number of large voids because it is high viscosity than that of low concentration (T1). It could be due to, if the casein micelles bond too powerfully, water holding capacity will reduce. Also, fortification with different types of milk protein ingredients resulted in higher viscosity, stronger networks and a smaller syneresis amount than non-fortified treatments (Karam et al., 2013).

Sensory properties of camels’ Rayeb milk samples fortified with different ratios of camel sweet curd (CSC) during cold storage

The sensory evaluations of fresh and stored camels’ Rayeb samples fortified with different ratios (5-25%) of camel sweet curd (CSC) are presented in Table 3. Overall, the study showed the good sensory quality of the Rayeb. All treatments were acceptable with significant differences (p≤0.05) between them, where the CSC levels used and the storage time were the major factors influencing the sensory properties. All treatments had a good quality appearance, body and texture (soft, smooth and lubricity texture) and pleasing creamy flavor. No obvious change detected in color and appearance either in fresh or in stored treatments. All of the panelists acknowledged the sensory quality of the prepared camels’ Rayeb fortified products, which may be due to rich and sweetness imparted by the high sweet curd added, which had a significant effect on the sensory evaluation. It could be due to that, the addition of milk protein ingredient considerably offers suitable functional properties as good water-binding capacity, gel-forming and thickening capacities which will develop higher obvious differences between treated treatments as compared to the control. The same findings confirmed by Crowley et al. (2015). Also, all treatments were characterized by white color and acceptable until the end of a cold storage period. The whey separation appeared to be decreased during storage in all treatments including control. Moreover, the acceptability of all treated Rayeb treatments with CSC was rated above average. Rayeb treatments made with 5 g/100g CSC (T1) showed a slightly soft body and smooth texture as compared with all other treated treatments; meanwhile 25 g/100g CSC added gained the lowest body texture scores. Generally, it has been observed that enriching the fortification base with CSC favors a granular texture. Among all treated treatments, T3 (fortified with 15% CSC) gained the highest preference (rich flavor, creamier consistency body & texture, as well as whiteness and acceptable color) followed by T2 contains 10 % CSC until the end of storage period.

Additionally, the total sensory quality attributes (flavor, body & texture, and appearance) of all the Rayeb treatments decreased (p≤0.05) with extending the storage period. These trim down started to be watched after the 6 ± day of storage and all treatments scored the lowest at the 15 ±day of refrigeration storage period (Table 3). Similar findings reported Lee and Lucey (2010).

Conclusion

From the foregoing, it could be concluded that using different concentrations (5-25 %) of camel sweet curd (CSC) in the manufacture of camels’ Rayeb milk as texture modifier were significantly (p≤0.05) improved the physicochemical, microstructural characteristics and data were preferred by the sensory panelists throughout the storage period (6±0.5°C for 15 days). Among treated samples; fortifications with 10 and 15% CSC gained the highest quality attributes throughout the storage period and could be recommended as texture modifier for the processing of camels’ Rayeb milk.
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